

# CANNABIS, DRUG TESTING & WORKPLACE SAFETY

ADELE L. ABRAMS, ESQ., ASP, CMSP  
LAW OFFICE OF ADELE L. ABRAMS PC

[WWW.SAFETY-LAW.COM](http://WWW.SAFETY-LAW.COM)

301-595-3520 OFFICE

301-613-7498 MOBILE



LAW OFFICE OF  
ADELE L. ABRAMS P.C.

# INTERSECTION OF SAFETY & HR LAWS

- Employers have legal obligation to protect workers from direct threats to safety under OSH Act – new penalties can reach \$156K per affected worker
  - OSHA can also take enforcement action arising from impairment on the job OR related to workplace violence incidents if foreseeable under GDC (Sec. 5(a)(1)!
- MSHA prohibits possession of, or being under influence of alcohol or narcotics at metal/nonmetal mines including contractors
  - Potential \$85K for supervisors with knowledge & \$313K fine against employers (includes contractors)
- Both agencies' anti-retaliation laws (MSHA – Sec 105C; OSHA Sec 11C & 29 CFR 1904.36) protect workers from being drug tested as a deterrent to reporting injuries or in retaliation for filing OHS complaints

# LOTS OF NEW CANNABIS LAWS!

In the past MONTH ... dozens of new marijuana laws took effect around the country:

- In Maryland, cannabis possession, personal cultivation, and retail sales are now legal
  - In Connecticut, adults may now cultivate marijuana at home for personal use
  - In Virginia and Nevada, multiple medical cannabis program improvements were implemented
  - In New Hampshire, out-of-state patients are now able to access state-licensed medical dispensaries
  - In California and Louisiana, efforts to expunge thousands of prior cannabis offenses are underway
  - In Maine, legislation limiting the ability for those on probation to be drug tested for cannabis has become law
- Earlier in 2023, Delaware and Minnesota legalized adult-use marijuana and Kentucky legalized medical cannabis.
- **Next up? Medical cannabis legalization efforts in NC and OH!**

# MEDICAL CANNABIS UPDATE

- 41 states (plus DC and all US territories) have legalized medical cannabis – latest are AL, MS and KY!
- 22 states (plus DC, Guam and CNMI) have now legalized recreational MJ – MD and DE are the latest, while Arkansas, ND and SD rejected initiatives
- NORML has updated interactive site to check state/local cannabis laws (including employment protections): <https://norml.org/laws/>
  - Example: In 7/23 - Michigan Civil Service Commission voted unanimously to adopt new rules ending the practice of pre-employment marijuana screening for most state workers (law enforcement excluded) and those denied b/c of failed tests can now reapply
- Federal cannabis decriminalization likely – Biden has called for declassification as CDS & pardoned federal prisoners convicted of cannabis possession
  - Federal legalization will negate current ADA case law that does not protect medical users because it is “illegal” under federal law
- Veterans Equal Access Act, or H.R. 2431 was reintroduced in 4/23 and has 21 BIPARTISAN co-sponsors!
  - The legislation expands and facilitates medical cannabis access to military veterans suffering from chronic pain, PTSD, and other serious medical conditions by allowing physicians affiliated with the Department of Veterans Affairs to recommend cannabis in compliance with state laws.

# OSHA POLICY ON DRUG TESTS

- Trump OSHA issued “clarifying” policy on 10/11/2018: <https://www.osha.gov/laws-regs/standardinterpretations/2018-10-11>
- **Biden administration affirmed the 2018 policy in its 2022 whistleblower handbook rev:**
  - Random drug testing.
  - Drug testing unrelated to the reporting of a work-related injury or illness.
  - Drug testing under a state workers’ compensation law.
  - Drug testing under other federal law, such as DOT regs for CDL
  - Drug testing to evaluate the root cause of a workplace incident that harmed or could have harmed employees
- ***If the employer chooses to use drug testing to investigate the incident, the employer should test all employees whose conduct could have contributed to the incident, not just employees who reported injuries, and DOCUMENT!***

# MARYLAND & LEGAL RECREATIONAL CANNABIS

- Beginning on **July 1, 2023**, adults 21 or older may possess and consume up to 1.5 oz of cannabis flower, 12 grams of concentrated cannabis, or a total amount of cannabis products that does not exceed 750 mg THC. This amount is known as the "personal use amount."
  - MD House voted 3/10/23 for a measure that would create a legal framework and tax structure to enable recreational marijuana to be sold in medical cannabis stores as soon as July 1 – Senate has separate bill under consideration.
- Passage of the ballot referendum in 11/22 also:
  - Establishes a process for expunging all cases in which possession of less than 10 grams of cannabis is the only charge, along with additional expungement provisions
  - Increases the amount of cannabis a person may possess that is subject to a civil fine rather than criminal penalty from 10 grams to 2.5 ounces (effective **January 1, 2023**)
  - Requires data collection and studies on cannabis use, impaired driving, and other health and safety issues
  - Establishes a new Cannabis Public Health Advisory Council, which must study and make recommendations regarding cannabis regulation to the General Assembly
- **It remains illegal to transport cannabis across state lines.**
- **Cannabis possession remains unlawful on federal land (including federal buildings, national parks, military bases, etc.), even within states that have legalized it.**

# MARYLAND & CANNABIS

- The new law does not directly address employee use of recreational cannabis, and current law offers no workplace protections for medical cannabis users – they can be fired or disciplined for off-duty use (but careful ... could be state “ADA” implications under state/county human rights laws but not yet litigated!)
  - Employers are permitted to test for the use of alcohol and controlled substances, as long as the testing is done in compliance with Maryland law. This includes testing for cannabis.
- The recreational cannabis law establishes some rules with a workplace connection:
  - Cannabis or hemp products must be added to the existing ban on smoking in any indoor place of employment under the Clean Air Act.
  - Employees who make complaints to or participate in Clean Air Act proceedings before the State are protected from adverse employment action.
- All individuals may not smoke cannabis, and drivers may not consume cannabis, in a vehicle on any public road.
  - To the extent that employees drive as part of their job responsibilities, this would apply to them (in addition to the fact that they should not be using recreational cannabis on the job)
- Expect legislation to be introduced in MD to provide workplace protections for the off-duty use of recreational cannabis.
- Legislation to clarify that employees will not be able to use or be under the influence of cannabis while on duty is also expected

# PENNSYLVANIA RECREATIONAL CANNABIS ACTION

- Senate Bill 846 would legalize the adult use of marijuana, permitting those ages 21 and older to possess and purchase up to 30 grams of cannabis.
- The bill would also allow registered medical marijuana patients to home cultivate their own cannabis. (Home cultivation for adult use purposes would remain prohibited.)
- The bill would establish the Cannabis Regulatory Control Board and the Cannabis Business Development Fund, creating regulations for cannabis businesses, including licensing, advertising, and distribution.
- SB 846 also contains provisions facilitating the expungement of past marijuana convictions and ensuring social equity for future cannabis-related businesses.



# PA MMA PROVISIONS FOR EMPLOYERS/WORKERS

- PA Medical Marijuana Act (MMA - Act 16) protects registered patients from unfair discrimination in the workplace without requiring employers to violate federal law but prohibits patients from participating in certain high-risk activities while using medical marijuana and allows employers to ensure a safe workplace.
- Employers may not discriminate against patients for their *status* as registered patients.
- **Patients who have more than 10 nanograms\* per milliliter of THC in their blood in serum may not operate or be in physical control of 1) chemicals that require a federal or state permit or 2) a high-voltage electricity or other public utility.**
- Employers **do not** have to “make any accommodation of the use of medical marijuana on the property or premises of any place of employment.”
- Employers **may** discipline employees for “being under the influence of medical marijuana in the workplace or for working while under the influence of medical marijuana when the employee's conduct falls below the standard of care normally accepted for that position.”
- Employers **do not** have to take any action violating federal law.
- Employers **may** prohibit patients who are employees from performing **mining** or any other “employment duties at **heights** or in **confined spaces**” while under the influence of marijuana.
- Employers may prohibit patients who are employees from performing any task which the **employer deems life-threatening** to the employee or other employees while under the influence of marijuana. In addition, “[t]he prohibition shall not be deemed an adverse employment decision even if the prohibition results in financial harm for the patient.”
- Senate Bill 835 (just passed 10-1, along with House bill) removes the list of specific qualifying conditions so that a doctor may recommend medical cannabis to any patient at his/her discretion.

# PENNSYLVANIA: WORKER'S COMP REIMBURSEMENT

- In March 2023, PA Court held employer violated PA's Workers' Compensation Act (WCA) by refusing to reimburse an employee for out-of-pocket medical marijuana expenses related to a workplace injury.
  - [\*Fegley v. Firestone Tire & Rubber \(Workers' Comp. Appeal Bd.\)\*, 2023 Pa. Commw. LEXIS 26 \(Commw. Ct. Mar. 17, 2023\)](#).
- Claimant was injured during his employment and received medical treatment based on those injuries. He was prescribed narcotics for pain relief for 30 years.
- His doctor later recommended that he use medical marijuana to treat his pain rather than take narcotics.
- A Utilization Review determined the Claimant's medical marijuana treatment was reasonable and necessary. Still, the Workers' Compensation Judge denied Claimant's petition to be reimbursed for his medical marijuana treatment. Claimant appealed to the Workers' Compensation Board and then to a state court.
- PA court drew the distinction between "coverage" and "reimbursement" for medical marijuana expenses. Although the state Medical Marijuana Act (MMA) states that insurance carriers are not required to provide "coverage" for medical marijuana, "coverage" is not the same as "reimbursement" for medical expenses that are reasonable and necessary under the WCA.
- ✓ MMA prohibits medical marijuana patients from being denied any rights or privileges because of their lawful use of medical marijuana.

# MEDICAL CANNABIS IN VIRGINIA & EMPLOYMENT

- 2021 Bill removes criminal penalties for individuals but there is no employment protection for recreational cannabis users
- **Medical** cannabis users **are protected** from being discharged, disciplined or discriminated against for lawful use of cannabis based on valid written certification – as of 7/1/2021
  - If worker has medical cannabis card and drug test comes back positive, employer may not terminate or discipline **SOLELY** on basis of positive test!
- Does not force employers to change current drug testing policies or any specific rules on use by employees – right to establish such rules is part of the written or implied employment contract
- Provides safe harbor for employers to drug test workers in certain regulated jobs regardless of signs of impairment or certification to use medical cannabis
- Does not require an employer to commit any act that would cause employers to violate federal law or result in the loss of a federal contract or federal funding
- Does not require any defense industrial base sector employer to hire or retain anyone who tests positive for THC in excess of **50 ng**
- New law provides a complete bar to recovery for workplace injury when it results from intoxication (includes use of drugs or alcohol) if the intoxication causes or contributes to the injury

# KEY LEGAL DECISIONS – MEDICAL CANNABIS

- *Noffsinger v. SSC Niantic Operating Co., LLC, d/b/a Bride Brook Nursing & Rehab. Ctr.*, (D. Conn. 9/5/18).
  - Federal court held: refusing to hire a MMJ user because she tested positive on a pre-employment drug test violates Connecticut’s medical marijuana law, and granted SJ to applicant
  - Court declined to award attorneys’ fees or punitive damages, and dismissed her claim for NIED
  - Court rejected “federal pre-emption” argument and held Federal Controlled Substances Act doesn't regulate employment, so not illegal to employ a marijuana user
- *Coats v. DISH Network, Colo. Ct. of Appeals (2015)*.
  - Reinforced right to terminate “positive” employee who held MMJ card, even in absence of evidence of impairment on the job.
    - Basis was the fact that MMJ is still technically “illegal” under federal law ... if congressional bill passes, this decision could be invalid.
- *Casias v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (6<sup>th</sup> Circuit, 2012)
  - Court held *Casias* had no claim of wrongful discharge as Michigan’s Medical Marijuana law did not regulate private industry. The law only provides protection from criminal prosecution
  - BUT ... Walmart just lost major case in AZ because state MMJ did have employment protections!

# ACLU MMJ CASE

- *ACLU v. Darlington Fabrics*, held applicant was discriminated against for refusal to hire due to admission of MMJ use for migraines
- Candidate had disclosed use and promised not to come to work under influence but was told she would not be hired because of current use of MMJ.
- She was NOT alleging discrimination under federal ADA, but under RI MMJ Act, which prohibits employment discrimination based on individual's status as MMJ cardholder.
  - Employer lost on Summary Judgment
- States with similar protections: AZ, CT, DE, IL, ME, MN, NV and NY
  - Arizona and Delaware laws are similar to RI and seek to prevent discrimination in “*hiring, termination, or any term or condition of employment, or otherwise penaliz[ing] a person...status as a cardholder*” or due to positive drug test for marijuana.

# MEDICAL CANNABIS & WORKER'S COMP

- The following states hold that employers must reimburse workers who are legal medical cannabis patients :
  - Arizona
  - Connecticut
  - Hawaii
  - Maine
  - Minnesota
  - New Hampshire
  - New Jersey
  - New Mexico
  - New York
  - Pennsylvania
  - Rhode Island
  - Vermont
- State laws in flux:
  - Delaware
  - Maryland
- The following states hold that employers do NOT have to reimburse workers:
  - Florida
  - Massachusetts
  - Michigan
  - North Dakota

# DEVELOPING PREVENTION PROGRAMS

There are five basic components of substance abuse prevention programs:

1. A written policy
2. Supervisor training
3. Employee education
4. Employee assistance
5. Drug and alcohol testing

# DRUG FREE WORKPLACE POLICY

- Should include:
  - Rationale
  - Prohibited behaviors - – is focus “impairment” or “positive test”?
  - Substances covered
  - Employees affected
  - Consequences of policy violation
  - Enforcement means
  - Availability of assistance
  - Very important that programs at union operations be developed in conjunction with union agreement to avoid CBA violations or claims of Sec. 8(a)(1) violations (changes in terms and conditions of employments unilaterally by employer)



# REASONABLE SUSPICION TESTING

- Allowed in all states BUT there may be specific provisions (particularly in state medical cannabis laws) that govern – also watch for municipal laws that may limit testing, especially in non-safety-sensitive positions
- Reasonable suspicion testing is also covered extensively in DOT rules and regulations, which require that determinations to conduct reasonable suspicion testing be based on *specific contemporaneous articulable observations of employee conduct, behavior, appearance or body odors*
- Private employers with non-DOT employees are also free to perform reasonable suspicion testing, subject to applicable state laws.
- Observations made of the employee exhibiting signs of impairment must be articulable and documented by a supervisor who has participated in training on the signs and symptoms of alcohol misuse and drug abuse and the requirements for reasonable suspicion testing
  - In the case of reasonable suspicion **alcohol** testing, the observations of employee behavior, conduct, or appearance must be made just before, during, or just after performing safety-sensitive duties.
  - Unlike reasonable suspicion alcohol testing, a reasonable suspicion **drug test** determination may be made at any time the supervisor observes employee behavior or appearance indicating possible use of controlled substances.

# WHAT IS IMPAIRMENT???

- Many employers use 20 ng for MJ (SAMHSA recommends 50 ng) but low test cutoffs can capture CBD-only products (which can contain up to .3% THC legally)
- Colorado, Washington and other states where now legal will need to address through DUI laws ... 5 ng level *per se* in WA; “permissible inference” in CO at 5 ng
- DOT has zero tolerance for CDL drivers, pilots and train engineers
- Growing field of forensics to determine if workplace accident victims were impaired – consequences for worker’s compensation, OSHA/MSHA liability, affirmative defenses in wrongful death and personal injury cases of contractors injured OTJ

# ILLINOIS “COMPASSIONATE CARE” LAW & IMPAIRMENT

- Illinois cannabis law says: Employer may consider patient to be impaired when he or she manifests specific, articulable symptoms while working that decrease or lessen his or her performance of the duties or tasks of the employee’s job position, including:
  - *symptoms of the employee’s speech, physical dexterity, agility, coordination, demeanor, irrational or unusual behavior, negligence or carelessness in operating equipment or machinery, disregard for the safety of the employee or others, or involvement in an accident that results in serious damage to equipment or property, or careless that results in any injury to the employee or others.”*
- If employer elects to discipline a patient, it must afford the employee a reasonable opportunity to contest the basis of the determination.

# CBD ISSUES

- Legally sold in all 50 states and on the internet
- No FDA approval nor testing of products (outside of legal dispensaries)
- Researchers at University of Miami assessed 516 CBD products, 121 of which were intended for oral consumption, and found:
  - 42 percent of products tested positive for the presence of lead,
  - 37 percent were positive for mercury,
  - 28 percent were positive for arsenic, and
  - 8 percent were positive for cadmium
- Heavy metal contamination was also identified in unregulated delta-8 THC vape pens
- CBD does NOT cause impairment ... but it CAN contain up to .3% THC legally ... and use can trigger positive cannabis drug test

# CBD & WORKPLACE DRUG TESTING

- CBD issues emerging – legal in all states but can contain 0.3% THC
  - DOD issued warning to servicemembers that CBD use is prohibited
  - Federal Ct in Indiana dismissed employee lawsuit after he tested positive for THC due to alleged CBD use and claimed that his termination was discriminatory on the basis of a disability. *Rocchio v. E&B Paving, LLC, and Int’l Union of Operating Engineers Local 103*, Case No. 1:20-cv-00417 (3/31/22)
  - DOT and CBD: *“It remains unacceptable for any safety-sensitive employee subject to the Department of Transportation’s drug testing regulations to use marijuana. Since the use of CBD products could lead to a positive drug test result, Department of Transportation-regulated safety-sensitive employees should exercise caution when considering whether to use CBD products.”*
  - MINER recently lost his work papers due to testing positive for CBD (WV State Supreme Ct ruling)

# ADA DIRECT THREAT TO SAFETY: FACTORS

- *Bragdon v. Abbott* (S. Ct. 1998) – A “good faith belief” that a risk is significant is not enough to meet the standard. The determination of “significant risk” must be based on medical or other objective or scientific belief.
- In determining whether an individual would pose a direct threat, the factors to be considered include:
  - The duration of the risk
  - The nature and severity of the potential harm
  - The likelihood that the potential harm will occur
  - The imminence of the potential harm

# DOCUMENT ADA ACCOMMODATION EFFORTS

- Make sure to engage in interactive process with employee concerning reasonable accommodations that may be options to address EE's disability needs in order to perform essential job functions
- Make sure to have WRITTEN job descriptions that delineate what each position's "essential job functions" actually are and which positions are classified as "safety sensitive"
- If the disability posing threat to safety cannot be mitigated:
  - Document the accommodations ER has considered
  - Explain why they did not sufficiently minimize the risk of direct threat to safety
  - Make sure your determination is based on objective criteria, nonbiased decision maker, and (where applicable) sound medical judgment.

# SUPERVISOR TRAINING: BEST LINE OF DEFENSE

- Supervisors' role: recognition (reasonable suspicion training), documentation, confrontation, referral, follow-up (not diagnosis or counseling)
- Supervisors need to be informed on how to identify an addiction-related problem in advance of a catastrophic event, as well as how to get help for addicted workers.
- Workers who are suspected of being “under the influence” should be taken to a private area, and a second supervisor or witness should be present to document any action or statements.
- Senior management must be notified of these events.
- It may be necessary to suspend a worker until an investigation can take place and/or until the worker completes treatment or is evaluated by the company EAP.



# THINGS TO DO NOW

- Check your current drug testing program against new OSHA e-Recordkeeping rule and applicable state law(s) for your company and watch for changes in 2023 (state & fed)
- Consider shift to “Fit for Duty” style testing such as Druid or Sobereye, rather than testing specific substances (covers fatigue, stress, shift work factors) EXCEPT DOT and critical safety sensitive positions – **and** check your job descriptions to flag SSP
- Make sure you are conforming with requirements that might apply under rules for government contractors, DOT and requirements under the ADA
- Make sure that in a union environment, any policy is negotiated as part of the CBA as drug testing can be a “term and condition of employment” under the NLRA
- Make sure supervisors are trained on identifying impairment
- Make sure all employees are treated fairly and do not treat injured workers in a disparate manner

# Questions?



Adele L. Abrams, Esq., ASP, CMSP

301-613-7498 mobile

301-595-3520 office

[www.safety-law.com](http://www.safety-law.com)

[safetylawyer@gmail.com](mailto:safetylawyer@gmail.com)